## Case 1:02-cv-06527-LJO-GSA Document 164 Filed 09/01/05 Page 1 of 5 Thomas P. Minehan, State Bar #66880 1 MINEHAN, McFAUL & McLINN, LLP 2 615-B State Street Santa Barbara, CA 93101 3 Telephone (805) 963-8252 Facsimile (805) 963-8272 4 5 Attorneys for Defendant SHAFTER-WASCO GINNING COMPANY, INC. 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA-FRESNO DIVISION 10 ROCIO ADAME ARAUJO DE AGUILAR, ) CASE NO. CV-F 02-6527 REC LJO (consolidated cases) et al., 11 Plaintiffs, STIPULATION OF THE MOVING AND 12 RESPONDING PARTIES RE v. CONTINUANCE OF THE HEARING ON 13 NATIONAL RAILROAD PASSENGER MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT CORPORATION, etc., et al., OF SHAFTER-WASCO GINNING 14 COMPANY, INC.; ORDER Defendants. 15 And Consolidated Actions. 16 17 18 COME NOW the moving and responding parties in the above entitled matter and hereby 19 submit the following: 20 1. The hearing on defendant SHAFTER-WASCO GINNING COMPANY, INC.'s 21 motion for summary judgment is currently scheduled for September 19, 2005, at 1:30 p.m.; 22 2. On August 26, 2005, moving party SHAFTER-WASCO GINNING COMPANY, INC., entered into a settlement of the case with the responding parties, subject to certain 23 24 conditions: 25 A determination that the settlement was in good faith by either motion or a. 26 stipulation. 27 Court approval of the minors' settlements; b. 28 1 STIPULATION RE CONTINUANCE OF HEARING

ON MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT; ORDER

## Case 1:02-cv-06527-LJO-GSA Document 164 Filed 09/01/05 Page 2 of 5

1	c. Advisement as to how the total settlement amount of \$50,000 will be		
2	allocated among the plaintiffs.		
3	3. It is anticipated that it will take a considerable amount of time to finalize the		
4	settlement, and the parties request a new hearing date on SHAFTER-WASCO GINNING		
5	COMPANY, INC.'s motion for summary judgment of at least sixty (60) days beyond the		
6	currently scheduled date of September 19, 2005, in the event that any of the settlement condition		
7	are not satisfied.		
8	4. This continuance will allow the responding parties to avoid the preparation and		
9	filing of an opposition to the motion for summary judgment as well as moving party's reply to		
10	the opposition, and hopefully allow the court to avoid a review and analysis of the moving and		
11	opposing papers.		
12	5. There is currently a stipulation being circulated among the parties to continue the		
13	pretrial conference and trial dates to dates in early 2006.		
14	IT IS SO STIPULATED.		
15	DATED: August, 2005 MINEHAN, McFAUL & McLINN, LLP		
16	By: /s/ Thomas P. Minehan		
17	THOMAS P. MINEHAN, Attorneys for Defendant SHAFTER-WASCO GINNING COMPANY, INC.		
18	SIMI TER WINGCO GINTANT, INC.		
19	DATED: August, 2005 MORENO, BECERRA, GUERRERO & CASILLAS		
20	By: /s/ Gregory W. Moreno		
21	GREGORY W. MORENO Attorneys for Plaintiffs		
22			
23	DATED: August, 2005 LAW OFFICES OF LUIS A. CARRILLO		
24	By: /s/ Luis A. Carrillo		
25	LUIS A. CARRILLO Attorneys for Plaintiffs		
26			
27			
28	2 STIPULATION RE CONTINUANCE OF HEARING		
	2 STIPULATION RE CONTINUANCE OF HEARING		

	Case 1:02-cv-06527-LJO-GSA D	ocument 164 Filed 09/01/05 Page 3 of 5			
1	DATED: August, 2005	MCNULTY LAW FIRM			
2		Dy. /a/ Datar I. MaNulty			
3		By: /s/ Peter J. McNulty PETER J. MCNULTY			
4		Attorneys for Plaintiffs			
5	DATED: August, 2005	LAW OFFICES OF BRIAN A. OSBORNE			
6					
7		By: /s/ Brian A. Osborne BRIAN A. OSBORNE			
8		Attorneys for Plaintiffs			
9		ORDER			
10	GOOD CAUSE APPEARING and the parties having stipulated,				
11	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the motion for summary judgment of defendant				
12	SHAFTER-WASCO GINNING COMPANY, INC., is continued from September 19, 2005, to				
13	November 7, 2005, at 1:30 p.m.				
14	IT IS SO ORDERED.				
15	Dated: _August 31, 2005	/s/ ROBERT E. COYLE_ UNITED STATES DISTRCT COURT JUDGE			
16		ONTED STATES DISTRET COOKT JODGE			
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
		3 STIPULATION RE CONTINUANCE OF HEARING			

	Case 1:02-cv-06527-LJO-GSA Document 164 Filed 09/01/05 Page 4 of 5				
1	U.S. District Court, Eastern District of California-Fresno Divn., Case No. CV-F 02-6527				
2					
3	C.C.P. 1013A(3)				
4	STATE OF CALIFORNIA )				
5	, and the second				
6 7	18 and not a party to the within action; my business address is 615-B State Street, Santa				
8 9	On August 31, 2005, I served the within STIPULATION OF THE MOVING AND SPONDING PARTIES RE CONTINUANCE OF THE HEARING ON MOTION FOR MMARY JUDGMENT OF SHAFTER-WASCO GINNING COMPANY, INC.; DER on the persons interested in said action as follows:				
	SEE ATTACHED SERVICE LIST				
1	X MAIL: by placing a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope addressed as set forth above. I am				
2 3 4	that practice it would be deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on that same day with postage thereon for prepaid at Santa Barbara, California, in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than or				
.5	PERSONAL DELIVERY: by causing to be hand delivered, a true copy thereof to the person/persons set forth above. (C.C.P. 1011).				
.7	OVERNIGHT EXPRESS: by placing a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed overnight express envelope addressed to the person/persons set forth above, postage fully prepaid, and causing said envelope to be picked up at my offices by an agent of the overnight express company and delivered to the person/persons set forth above. (C.C.P. 1013(c)).				
9	FACSIMILE: by use of the facsimile machine maintained at my offices (which number is 805/963-8272), I caused a true copy thereof to be telecopied at:m. to the person/persons at the facsimile				
8272), I caused a true copy thereof to be telecopied at:m. to the person/persons at the facsimil number as set forth above. The facsimile machine I used complied with California Rules of Court, rule 2003(3) and no error was reported by the machine. Pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 2008(e) I caused the machine to print a transmission record, a copy of which is attached to this proof of service (C.C.P. 1013(e)).					
22	X ELECTRONIC SERVICE: by causing a true copy of such document to be electronically served or the parties listed below.				
24					
25	I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct, and that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this court at whose direction the service was made. Executed August 31, 2005, at Santa Barbara, California.				
26	/s/ Kerry Gilligan				
27	Kerry Gilligan				
28					

## Case 1:02-cv-06527-LJO-GSA Document 164 Filed 09/01/05 Page 5 of 5

Aguilar v. National Railroad Passenger Corp., et al.
U.S. District Court, Eastern District of California-Fresno Divn., Case No. CIV-F 02-6527

## **SERVICE LIST**

SERVICE LIST				
Peter J. McNulty, Esq. McNulty Law Firm 827 Moraga Drive Bel Air, CA 90049 310/471-2707; 310/472-7014 fax Attorneys for Plaintiffs Edith Rodriguez-Hernandez, Eloy Suarez- Rodriguez, by and through is Guardian ad Litem, Jesus Suarez, Ezequiel Suarez-Rodriguez, by and through is Guardian ad Litem, Jesus Suarez, Diana Suarez-Rodriguez, by and through is Guardian ad Litem, Jesus Suarez; Guadalupe Calderon; Francisco Suarez	Brian A. Osborne, Esq. Law Offices of Brian A. Osbome 674 County Square Dr., Ste. 310 Ventura, CA 93003 805/642-9283; 805/642-7054 fax Attorneys for Plaintiffs Mateo Romero, Rosa Romero, Benigno Rivera	David Lynn, Esq. Law Offices of David Lynn, APC 11500 W. Olympic Blvd., Ste. 400 Los Angeles, CA 90064 310/312-4513; 310/477-7808 fax Attorneys for Plaintiffs Carlos Munoz for Maria Ramirez and Benito Valdivia; Maria Torres for herself and as Guardian Ad Litem for Martin Zendejas and Alma Torres; and Maria Alarcon for herself and as Guardian Ad Litem for Marco Gonzalez and Juan Gonzalez		
Luis A. Carrillo, Esq. Law Offices of Luis A. Carrillo 3500 W. Beverly Blvd. Montebello, CA 90640-1541 323/722-6298; 323/725-0350 fax Attorneys for Plaintiffs Ester Aguilar, individually and as successor-in- interest to the estates of Mario Aguilar and Benigno Aguilar; Concepcion Tolentino  (Via US Mail)	Gregory W. Moreno, Esq. Arnoldo Casillas, Esq. Moreno, Becerra, Guerrero & Casillas 3500 W. Beverly Blvd. Montebello, CA 90640-1541 323/725-0917; 323/725-0350 Attorneys for Plaintiffs Rocio Adame Alfaro, individually and as successor- in-interest to the estate of Benigno Aguilar, Lizbeth Aguilar Adame, a minor, by and through her guardian ad litem, Rocio Adame Alfaro, Claudia Munoz, individually and as successor- in-interest to the estate of Mario Munoz, Luis Mario Munoz, a minor, by and through his guardian ad litem, Claudia Munoz, Isais Sarabia; Paola Diaz Sarabia; Juan Jimenez; Maria Guadalupe Jimenez Diaz; Pedro Jimenez Diaz; Rocio Jimenez Diaz; Valeria Jimenez Diaz	B. Clyde Hutchinson, Esq. Erin Eileen Fry, Esq. Lombardi, Loper & Conant, LLP Lake Merritt Plaza 1999 Harrison St., Ste. 2600 Oakland, CA 94612-3541 510/433-2600; 510/433-2699 fax Attorneys for Defendants National Railroad Passenger Corporation, Burlington Northern and Santa Fe Railway Company, Walter Ward and W.M. Dike		
Dixon G. Kummer, Esq. Gattuso & Kummer PO Box 2750 Bakersfield, CA 93303 661/324-2700; 661/324-2790 fax Attorneys for Defendant Palomo Farm Services	B.C. Barmann, Sr., County Counsel County of Kern, State of California Mark L. Nations, Chief Deputy- Litigation Jennifer L. Thurston, Deputy Administrative Center 1115 Truxtun Ave., 4th Floor Bakersfield, CA 93301 661/868-3800; 661/868-3805 fax	John W. Stevenson, Jr., Esq. John Stevenson and Associates 24 Greenway Plaza, Suite 750 Houston, TX 77046  (Via US Mail)		

STIPULATION RE CONTINUANCE OF HEARING ON MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT; ORDER